

# Introduction to Berkovich spaces

Florent Martin

These are notes from a mini-course I gave at the *Students' Workshop on Tropical and Non-Archimedean Geometry* at the University of Regensburg in August 2015.

## 1 Naive non-Archimedean manifolds

A field  $k$  is non-Archimedean if it is equipped with a complete non-Archimedean norm  $|\cdot| : k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . This means:

1.  $|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ .
2.  $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y|$ .
3.  $|x| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
4.  $(k, |\cdot|)$  is complete.

The norm  $|\cdot|$  induces a metric on  $k$ . We set

$$k^\circ = \{x \in k \mid |x| \leq 1\} \quad k^{\circ\circ} = \{x \in k \mid |x| < 1\} \quad \tilde{k} = k^\circ / k^{\circ\circ}$$

Due to the ultrametric inequality,  $k^\circ$  is open and closed. Examples of non-Archimedean fields are  $\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{F}_q((t)), \mathbb{C}((t)), \mathbb{C}_p := \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{alg}}}$ , any field  $k$  with the trivial norm ( $|x| = 1$  if  $x \neq 0$  and  $|0| = 0$ )... Note that  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is algebraically closed.

Like on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , there are power series on  $k$ . For instance:

1.  $\frac{1}{1-X} = \sum_{n \geq 0} X^n$  converges for  $|X| < 1$ .
2.  $\exp(X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{X^n}{n!}$  converges for  $\begin{cases} |X| < \frac{1}{p} & \text{in characteristic } (0, p), \text{ ex. } \mathbb{Q}_p. \\ |X| < 1 & \text{in characteristic } (0, 0), \text{ ex. } \mathbb{C}((t)). \end{cases}$

We will say that a map between two open subsets  $U \subset k^m, V \subset k^n$  is *naively holomorphic* if it is locally given by convergent power series. We define *naive  $k$ -analytic manifolds* as topological spaces obtained by gluing open subsets of  $k^n$  along biholomorphic maps. A map between naive  $k$ -analytic manifolds is a *naive holomorphic map* if it is given locally by naive holomorphic maps between the open subsets of the charts.

This definition is pathological for the following reasons.

1. [Ser65] If  $k$  is a local field ( $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$ ) or a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $d > 0$  is a positive integer, there are only  $q - 1$  (where  $q = \text{Card}(\tilde{k})$ ) isomorphism classes of compact naive  $k$ -analytic manifolds of a given dimension  $d$ :

$$\{(k^\circ)^d, (k^{\circ\circ})^d, \dots, \prod_{q-1} (k^\circ)^d\}.$$

2. If  $k$  is algebraically closed, and  $n > 0$  is an integer, there is no compact naive  $k$ -analytic manifold of dimension  $n$ . But:

- (a) All nonempty open subsets of  $k^n$  are naively biholomorphic.
- (b) If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety of dimension  $n$ , the naive  $k$ -analytic manifold  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{naive-an}}$  is biholomorphic to  $k^n$ .

**What is the problem?** There are too many open subsets, and  $k$  is totally disconnected. The closed unit disc is the disjoint union of its open unit balls:

$$k^\circ = \coprod_{\lambda \in \bar{k}} \{x \in k^\circ \mid \tilde{x} = \lambda\}.$$

For instance

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \coprod_{i=1 \dots p-1} i + p\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

If  $g : \tilde{k} \rightarrow k$  is any function, then  $f : k^\circ \rightarrow k$  defined by  $x \in k^\circ \mapsto g(\tilde{x})$  is a locally constant naive holomorphic function on  $k^\circ$  which is not constant. In particular,  $f$  is not given by a power series converging on  $k^\circ$ .

**What is the solution?** There are several approaches.

1. The theory of rigid spaces (Tate): the idea is to restrict to a class of admissible covers.
2. Add new points to the spaces: Berkovich spaces, adic spaces.
3. Use formal schemes over  $k^\circ$  and inverse formal admissible blowing-ups (Raynaud).
4. ...

As in algebraic geometry, these approaches always consider points in the algebraic closure.

## 2 Affinoid algebras and spaces

### 2.1 Definitions

The Tate-algebra in  $n$  variables is

$$k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\} := \left\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu T^\nu \mid a_\nu \in k, a_\nu \xrightarrow{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \right\}.$$

These are the power series which converge on the closed unit polydisc. It is a UFD, a Noetherian and regular ring... We equip  $k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$  with a  $k$ -Banach algebra norm as follows:

$$\left\| \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu T^\nu \right\| = \max_{\nu} |a_\nu|.$$

If  $I$  is an ideal of  $k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ , we equip the quotient  $\mathcal{A} := k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}/I$  with the residue norm: for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} := \inf\{\|g\| \mid g \in k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}, f = g + I\}.$$

One can check that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a  $k$ -Banach algebra (this follows from the fact that ideals are closed in  $k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ ).  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a strictly  $k$ -affinoid algebra.

More generally, we set

$$k\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\} := \left\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu T^\nu \mid a_\nu \in k, |a_\nu| r^\nu \xrightarrow{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \right\}.$$

These are the power series which converge on the closed polydisc of polyradius  $(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ . We call quotients  $k\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\}$   $k$ -affinoid algebras.

A morphism between the  $k$ -affinoid algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a continuous morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

A bounded multiplicative seminorm on  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a map  $|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

1.  $|f + g| \leq |f| + |g|$ .
2.  $|fg| = |f| \cdot |g|$ .
3.  $|1| = 1$ .
4. There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $|f| \leq C\|f\|$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -affinoid algebra. We set

$$X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) := \{ \text{bounded multiplicative seminorms } |\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \}$$

equipped with the weakest topology making the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ |\cdot| & \mapsto & |f| \end{array}$$

continuous for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . We call  $X$  a  $k$ -affinoid space.

The  $k$ -affinoid spaces will serve as building blocks for  $k$ -analytic spaces.

**Proposition 2.**  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  is a nonempty compact Hausdorff space.

**Notation:** we want to consider  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  as a geometric space and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  as functions on  $X$ . So if  $x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , we set

$$|f(x)| := x(f).$$

A basis of open subsets for the topology of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  is given by the sets

$$U = \{x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \mid \alpha_i < |f_i(x)| < \beta_i, i = 1 \dots n\}$$

where  $f_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a morphism of  $k$ -affinoid algebra. It induces a continuous map  $\varphi^* \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $x : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $\varphi^*(x)$  is the seminorm

$$f \in \mathcal{A} \mapsto |\varphi(f)(x)|$$

which is obtained as the composition  $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{R}$ . A morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces is a morphism of the form  $\varphi^*$ , so the category of  $k$ -affinoid spaces is equivalent to the opposite category of  $k$ -affinoid algebras.

**Theorem 3. Nullstellensatz for strictly  $k$ -affinoid algebras.** If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of a strictly  $k$ -affinoid algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}$  is a finite extension of  $k$ .

For any finite extension of non-trivially valued non-Archimedean fields  $k \rightarrow k'$ , there exists a unique non-Archimedean norm  $|\cdot|_{k'}$  on  $k'$  extending the norm of  $k$ . So if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have a canonical associated norm  $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  on  $\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}$  and we can associate an element of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathbb{R}.$$

This implies that one has a correspondence between maximal ideals of  $k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$  and the orbits of  $((k^{\text{alg}})^\circ)^n$  modulo  $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{alg}}/k)$ . More generally, if  $\mathcal{A} = k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  one has a correspondence between maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the set of points  $x \in ((k^{\text{alg}})^\circ)^n$  such that  $f_i(x) = 0$  for all  $i$  modulo  $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{alg}}/k)$ .

## 2.2 Example of the unit disc

Let us assume for this part that  $k$  is algebraically closed. We set

$$B = \mathcal{M}(k\{T\})$$

and call it the closed unit disc. If  $c \in k^\circ$  and  $r \in [0, 1]$ , we denote the closed disc of center  $c$  and radius  $r$  by

$$B(c, r) := \{x \in k^\circ \mid |x - c| \leq r\}.$$

We want to classify the points of  $B$ .

**Type 1.** If  $c \in k^\circ$  then the multiplicative seminorm

$$f \in k\{T\} \mapsto |f(c)|$$

defines a point of  $B = \mathcal{M}(k\{T\})$ . These are in correspondence with maximal ideals of  $k\{T\}$  and are called points of type (1).

**Type 2 and 3.** Let us first remark that the Banach norm on  $k\{T\}$  given by

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n T^n \mapsto \|f\| = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|$$

is multiplicative. In addition  $\|f\| = \max_{z \in D(0,1)} |f(z)|$ .

More generally if  $r \in ]0, 1]$  let us set

$$|\cdot|_{D(0,r)} : f = \sum a_n T^n \mapsto |f|_{D(0,r)} = \max |a_n| r^n.$$

Then  $|\cdot|_{D(0,r)} \in B$ , i.e. it is a multiplicative seminorm. In addition, it satisfies for all  $f \in k\{T\}$

$$|f|_{D(0,r)} = \max_{z \in D(0,r)} |f(z)|.$$

More generally again, if  $c \in k^\circ$  and  $r \in [0, 1]$  we define

$$|\cdot|_{D(c,r)} : f = \sum a_n T^n \mapsto |f|_{D(c,r)} = \max |a_n| r^n.$$

Then  $|\cdot|_{D(c,r)} \in B$ , i.e. it is a multiplicative seminorm. In addition, it satisfies for all  $f \in k\{T\}$

$$|f|_{D(c,r)} = \max_{z \in D(c,r)} |f(z)|.$$

When  $r \in \sqrt{|k^\times|}$ ,  $|\cdot|_{D(c,r)}$  is called a type of point (2) of  $B$ , and when  $r \notin \sqrt{|k^\times|}$ ,  $|\cdot|_{D(c,r)}$  is called a point of type (3).

**Type 4.** The field  $k$  is called maximally complete if every family of embedded nonempty discs has a non empty intersection. For instance local fields are maximally complete, but one can prove that  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is not maximally complete.

Let us assume that  $k$  is not maximally complete, this means that one can find a positive real number  $r \in ]0, 1[$ , and for each  $\rho \in ]r, 1]$ , an element  $c_\rho \in k^\circ$  such that

$$\mathcal{E} := \{D(c_\rho, \rho), \rho \in ]r, 1]\}$$

is a family of embedded discs with empty intersection. We then set

$$|\cdot|_{\mathcal{E}} : f \in k\{T\} \mapsto \inf_{D \in \mathcal{E}} |f|_D.$$

One checks that  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{E}}$  defines a multiplicative seminorm. So  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{E}}$  is an element of  $B$ . The assumption that the family  $\mathcal{E}$  has empty intersection implies that  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{E}}$  is not of type (1), (2) or (3).

**Fact 4.** Any point of  $B = \mathcal{M}(k\{T\})$  is of type (1)–(4) (cf. [Ber90, 1.4.4]).

Make a picture, and draw lines. Check that the map

$$r \in [0, 1] \mapsto |\cdot|_{D(c,r)} \in B$$

induces a homeomorphism between  $[0, 1]$  and its image.

**Proposition 5.**  $B = \mathcal{M}(k\{T\})$  is arcwise-connected.

If  $\lambda \in k^\circ$ , let us set

$$B_\lambda^+ = \{x \in B \mid |(T - \lambda)(x)| < 1\}.$$

This depends only on the reduction  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{k}$ . Then  $B_\lambda^+$  are a disjoint family of open subsets of  $B$ , but now,  $B_\lambda^+$  is not closed, and in addition

$$\coprod_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{k}} B_\lambda^+ \subsetneq B.$$

Indeed there is just one point missing:  $|\cdot|_{D(0,1)}$ .

### 3 Gluing of affinoid spaces

#### 3.1 Example of an annulus

Let  $\pi \in k$  such that  $0 < |\pi| < 1$  and let us consider the annulus

$$U = \{x \in B = \mathcal{M}(k\{T\}) \mid |\pi| \leq |T(x)| \leq 1\}.$$

Try to make two drawings of  $U$ .

We want to see  $U$  as a  $k$ -affinoid space inside  $B$ . What should be the set of analytic functions on  $U$ ?

$$\mathcal{A}_U = \{f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n T^n \mid |a_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \mid |a_{-n} \pi^{-n}| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0\}.$$

If one set  $S := \frac{\pi}{T}$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_U \simeq k\{S, T\}/(ST - \pi).$$

**Fact 6.** The morphism

$$k\{T\} \rightarrow k\{S, T\}/(ST - \pi)$$

induces a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{M}(k\{S, T\}/(ST - \pi))$  and  $U$  through the following morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces

$$\mathcal{M}(k\{S, T\}/(ST - \pi)) \rightarrow B.$$

#### 3.2 Affinoid domains

**Definition 7.** Let  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be a  $k$ -affinoid space. A closed subset  $U \subset X$  is an affinoid domain of  $X$  if there exists a  $k$ -affinoid algebra  $\mathcal{A}_U$  and a morphism of  $k$ -affinoid algebras  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_U$  such that

1.  $\varphi^* : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_U) \rightarrow X$  induces a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_U)$  and  $U$ .

2. For any morphism of  $k$ -affinoid space  $f : Y = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $f(Y) \subset U$ , there exists a unique morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces  $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_U)$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_U) & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \swarrow \text{dotted} & \uparrow f \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

Here is a list of examples.

1. In the example of subsection 3.1,  $U$  is an affinoid domain of  $B$ .

2. If  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{A}$

$$U = \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \leq 1, i = 1 \dots n\}$$

is an affinoid domain, called a Weierstrass domain, and

$$\mathcal{A}_U \simeq \mathcal{A}\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}/(f_i - T_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}.$$

3. If  $f_1, \dots, f_n, g \in \mathcal{A}$  generate the unit ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$U = \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \leq |g(x)|, i = 1 \dots n\}$$

is an affinoid domain, called a rational domain, and

$$\mathcal{A}_U \simeq \mathcal{A}\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}/(f_i - gT_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}.$$

**Lemma 8.** *If  $U$  and  $V$  are affinoid domains of  $X$  so is  $U \cap V$ .*

**Theorem 9 (Tate's acyclicity Theorem).** *Let  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be a  $k$ -affinoid space and let  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  be a finite cover of  $X$  by affinoid domains. Then*

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1, \dots, n} \mathcal{A}_{U_i} \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathcal{A}_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

*is exact.*

### 3.3 Gluing, informal definition

We only sketch the global definition of a  $k$ -analytic spaces. For precise definitions, see [Ber93, section 1].

A  $k$ -analytic space is a locally Hausdorff topological space  $X$  covered by a *nice* family of compact subsets  $\{U_i\}$  (the  $U_i$ 's should be a net of  $X$ , cf. [Ber93, section 1]) such that each  $U_i$  should be identified with a  $k$ -affinoid space, and such that whenever  $U_i \subset U_j$ ,  $U_i$  should be identified with an affinoid domain of  $U_j$ .

A morphism between two  $k$ -analytic spaces is roughly a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that whenever  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  are affinoid domains with  $f(U) \subset V$ , then  $f|_U : U \rightarrow V$  is a morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces.

## 4 Analytification and GAGA

### 4.1 The affine space

We define

$$(\mathbb{A}_k^n)^{\text{an}} = \{\text{multiplicative seminorms } |\cdot| : k[T_1, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ extending the norm of } k\}$$

with the weakest topology such that for all  $P \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ , the map  $(\mathbb{A}_k^n)^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , defined by  $|\cdot| \mapsto |P|$  is continuous.

For each  $r > 0$ , the inclusion  $k[T_1, \dots, T_n] \hookrightarrow k\{r^{-1}T_1, \dots, r^{-1}T_n\}$  induces a map

$$\mathcal{M}(k\{r^{-1}T_1, \dots, r^{-1}T_n\}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_k^n)^{\text{an}}$$

which induces a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{M}(k\{r^{-1}T_1, \dots, r^{-1}T_n\})$  and its image

$$B_r^n = \{x \in (\mathbb{A}_k^n)^{\text{an}} \mid |T_i(x)| \leq r, \forall i\}.$$

For all  $r < s$ ,  $B_r^n$  is an affinoid domain of  $B_s^n$  and this equip  $(\mathbb{A}_k^n)^{\text{an}}$  with the structure of a  $k$ -analytic space.

### 4.2 Algebraic $k$ -varieties

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an affine  $k$ -variety given by  $\mathcal{X} = V(I)$  for some ideal  $I \subset k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ . For  $r > 0$  we set  $X_r := \mathcal{M}(k\{r^{-1}T_1, \dots, r^{-1}T_n\}/I)$ . It can be identified with a closed subset of

$$\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}} := \{\text{multiplicative seminorms } |\cdot| : k[T_1, \dots, T_n]/I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ extending the norm of } k\}$$

equipped with the topology inherited from  $(\mathbb{A}_k^n)^{\text{an}}$ , i.e. the weakest topology such that for all  $P \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n]/I$ , the map  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , defined by  $|\cdot| \mapsto |P|$  is continuous. For  $r < s$ ,  $X_r$  is identified with an affinoid domain of  $X_s$ , and this equips  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$  with a structure of  $k$ -analytic space.

**Example 10.** *We have a homeomorphism*

$$(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^n)^{\text{an}} \simeq \{\text{multiplicative seminorms } |\cdot| : k[T_1, T_1^{-1}, \dots, T_n, T_n^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ extending the norm of } k\}.$$

The continuous map

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^n)^{\text{an}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ x &\mapsto (\log |T_i(x)|)_{i=1 \dots n} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. For  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^n$ , the seminorm

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mapsto \max_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |a_\nu| r_1^{\nu_1} \dots r_n^{\nu_n}$$

is a continuous section of the above map.

When  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $k$ -scheme of finite type, one can glue the above construction and define a  $k$ -analytic space  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$ , which defines a functor  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$  from the category of  $k$ -scheme of locally finite type to the category of  $k$ -analytic spaces. As in the complex case one has GAGA-Theorems: for a  $k$ -scheme of locally finite type  $\mathcal{X}$  there is a functor  $F \mapsto F^{\text{an}}$  from coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules to coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}}$ -modules such that

1. if  $\varphi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a proper morphism of schemes of locally finite type

$$(R^p \varphi_* F)^{\text{an}} \simeq R^p \varphi_*^{\text{an}}(F^{\text{an}}).$$

2. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is proper, the functor  $F \rightarrow F^{\text{an}}$  is an equivalence of categories.

## 5 Topological properties

Let  $X$  be  $k$ -analytic space. Then  $X$  is

1. locally compact.
2. locally arcwise connected.
3. If  $X$  has a strictly semi-stable model or is the analytification of a quasi-projective variety,  $X$  retracts on a finite  $CW$ -complex.

## References

- [Ber90] V.G. Berkovich. *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*. Amer Mathematical Society, 1990.
- [Ber93] V.G. Berkovich. Etale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 78(1):5–161, 1993.
- [Ser65] Jean-Pierre Serre. Classification des variétés analytiques  $p$ -adiques compactes. *Topology*, 3:409–412, 1965.